

Validity

Theoretical Development and Integrated Arguments

Micheline Chalhoub-Deville
Barry O'Sullivan

VALIDITY

Theoretical Development and Integrated Arguments

Micheline Chalhoub-Deville and Barry O'Sullivan

equinox

SHEFFIELD UK BRISTOL CT

CONTENTS

<i>List of figures</i>	viii
<i>List of tables</i>	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Historical overview of validity	13
Chapter 3 Principled design, test development and validation	59
Chapter 4 Validity and consequences	128
Chapter 5 An integrated argument-based approach to validation	146
Chapter 6 Conclusion: Validation, localisation and case studies	158
References	168
Appendix	189
Index	201

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	The speaking test validation framework (O’Sullivan and Weir, 2002)	22
Figure 2:	Kane’s measurement procedure and interpretive argument for trait interpretations (2006)	47
Figure 3:	Kane’s (2006) IA approach adapted by Aryadoust (2009: 1193; 2013)	50
Figure 4:	Inferential links from consequences to assessment performance (Bachman & Palmer, 2010)	61
Figure 5:	The structure of the technical evaluation of educational testing (Lissitz & Samuelson, 2007a)	70
Figure 6:	Schematic representation of test design evidentiary structure (Mislevy, Steinberg & Almond, 2003)	79
Figure 7:	A universal validity system (Embretson, 2008)	84
Figure 8:	Revised test validation model (O’Sullivan, 2014)	94
Figure 9:	Khalifa & Weir’s model of reading progression (2009)	117
Figure 10:	Role and responsibility allocation for consequences in validity (Chalhoub-Deville, 2016)	144
Figure 11:	Validation model as integrated arguments (Chalhoub-Deville course materials, 2012–present)	149
Figure 12:	Socio-cognitive model as integrated arguments	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Definitions of content, criterion and construct validity	7
Table 2:	Methodologies associated with content, criterion and construct validity	8
Table 3:	Summary of significant influences on UK test development (based on Weir et al., 2013)	16
Table 4:	Messick's progressive matrix (1989)	31
Table 5:	Weideman's matrix (2012)	34
Table 6:	McNamara & Roever's matrix (2006)	35
Table 7:	Definition of validity in the <i>Standards</i> (1999 and 2014)	36
Table 8:	Validity as a unitary concept in the <i>Standards</i> (1999 and 2014)	37
Table 9:	Construct validity in the <i>Standards</i> (1999 and 2014)	39
Table 10:	Sources of validity evidence with some examples (from the <i>Standards</i> of 1999 and 2014)	43
Table 11:	Formulation of validity research in the <i>Standards</i> of 1999 and 2014	44
Table 12:	Nature of arguments (Kane, 2006)	53
Table 13:	Attending to stakeholder groups in Bachman & Palmer's AUA (2010)	66
Table 14:	Internal factors that should be considered for the systematic evaluation of content validity (Lissitz & Samuelson 2007a)	72
Table 15:	The UVS test development and operational segment	84
Table 16:	The UVS meaning-oriented segment	85
Table 17:	The UVS significance-directed segment	86

Table 18: Comparison of some validity issues in the UK and the US	92
Table 19: Cognitive dimension of Aptis Reading Task 4 (O'Sullivan & Dunlea, 2015)	103
Table 20: Linking Messick's six validation criteria to the socio-cognitive model	124
Table 21: Conceptualising consequences within validity in reform-driven testing	141
Table A1: Levels of localisation in the Aptis test system (O'Sullivan & Dunlea, 2015)	191
Table A2: Overview of development activities, British Council / TUFS Project	197